

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

June 24, 1997

Camille Garibaldi, Code 5090 Department of the Navy Engineering Field Activity, West 900 Commodore Drive San Bruno, California 94066-5006

RE: Suggestions for Alameda Point IR Schedule

Dear Ms. Garibaldi:

As per our discussion of June 11, I have pieced together a very rough suggested schedule for the IR program for Alameda Point. In doing so, I have tried to allow for reasonable times for review of the documents by the regulatory agencies and reasonable time periods for response to, and incorporation of, agency comments by the Navy. I have not been able to take into account Navy internal review times: these times will have to be incorporated prior to the date of draft document submittals to the agencies.

For the purposes of this rough schedule, and to simplify setting up the periods of review and response, I have assumed a 30 calendar day month and scheduled all dates either on the 1st or the 15th of the month. Although this simplification means that some review periods are not exactly 30, 45 or 60 calendar days, it makes tracking easy. If a submittal date falls on a weekend or holiday, the submittal will be due the next working day.

It is my understanding that OUs 1 and 3 are expected to be less complicated than OUs 2 and 4. I have therefore assigned a 45 calendar day review period to the OUs 1 and 3 draft RI and FS documents and a 60 calendar day review period to the OUs 2 and 4 draft RI and FS documents. All draft final documents are subject to a 30 calendar day review period by the agencies, with a further 30 calendar day period tacked on in case portions of the draft final documents need to be amended before the documents go final.

All draft RODs have been given a 60 calendar day review period to allow for the extra time required for legal counsel discussions and concurrence, and briefings for second and possibly third line program management. The review time for the draft final RODs has been kept to 30 calendar days with a further 30 calendar days added to take care of last minute changes before going final.

To expedite the progress of the various OUs and maximize the use of agencies and Navy time

RECEIVED

JUN 26 1997

between document reviews and changes, I have overlapped each OU part way through the FS review with the subsequent OU's RI. I have attempted to prevent too many documents from landing on anyone's desk at one time and so minimize overlapping review/comment/response periods. The schedule still remains fairly demanding and ambitious!

You will notice that I have not included any dates for the Proposed Plan associated with each OU. The schedule for the Proposed Plan is fairly flexible, with the guideline being that it should be sent out in draft after agreement is reached on the FS (potentially, agreement can be reached as early as the end of the agency comment period on the draft FS or as late as the last 30 day final changes period). The PP must have been commented on by the public before the draft final ROD is submitted to the agencies.

I look forward to working with you to develop a reasonable schedule for the IR program that all parties on the Alameda team can commit to. Please call me at (415) 744-2367 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Anna-Marie Cook

Remedial Project Manger

anna Marie book

James Ricks, EPA cc: Tom Lanphar, DTSC

Steve Edde, EFA West

Teresa Bernhard, EFA West

document	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
OU 1 D RI (agencies)		2/15		4/1								
OU 1 D RI (Navy)				4/1	5/15							
OU 1 DF RI (agenc)					5/15	6/15						
OU 1 Final RI		·				6/15	7/15					
OU 1 D FS (agencies)							7/15		9/1			
OU 1 D FS (Navy)									9/1	10/15		
OU 1 DF FS (agenc)										10/15	11/15	
OU 1 Final FS											11/15	12/15
OU 1 D ROD (agn)												12/15
OU 3 D RI (agencies)									9/1	10/15		
OU 3 D RI (Navy)										10/15		12/1
OU 3 DF RI (agen)												12/1

document	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
OU 1 D ROD (agn)	1/1											
OU 1 D ROD (Nvy)	1/1		3/1									
OU 1 DF ROD (agn)			3/1	4/1								
OU 1 Final ROD				4/1	5/1							
OU 3 DF RI (agen)	1/1											
OU 3 Final RI	1/1	2/1										
OU 3 D FS (agencies)		2/1	3/15									
OU 3 D FS (Navy)			3/15		5/1							
OU 3 DF FS (agen)					5/1	6/1						
OU 3 Final FS						6/1	7/1					
OU 3 D ROD (agn)							7/1		9/1			
OU 3 D ROD (Nvy)									9/1		11/1	
OU 3 DF ROD (agn)											1171	12/1
OU 3 Final ROD												12/1

OU 2 D RI (agencies)		3/15	5/15					
OU 2 D RI (Navy)			5/15	7/15			Ļ	
OU 2 DF RI (agncy)				7/15	8/15			
OU 2 Final RI					8/15	9/15		
OU 2 D FS (agencies)						9/15	11/15	
OU 2 D FS (Navy)							11/15	
OU 4 D RI (agencies)							11/15	

document	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
OU 3 Final ROD	1/1											
OU 2 D FS (Navy)	1/15				·							
OU 2 DF FS (agncy)	1/15	2/15										
OU 2 Final FS		2/15	3/15							-		
OU 4 D RI (agencies)	1/15									The Linguist Wiles		
OU 4 D RI (Navy)	1/15		3/15									
OU 4 DF RI (agncy)			3/15	4/15								
OU 4 Final RI				4/15	5/15							
OU 4 D FS (agencies)					5/15		7/15					
OU 4 D FS (Navy)							7/15		9/15			
OU 4 DF FS (agncy)									9/15	10/15		
OU 4 Final FS										10/15	11/15	
OU 4 D ROD (agn)											11/15	

document	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
OU 4 D ROD (agn)	1/15											
OU 4 D ROD (Nvy)	1/15		3/15									
OU 4 DF ROD (agn)			3/15	4/15								
OU 4 Final ROD				4/15	5/15							